

## **RNA Special Session Supplement 1.28.2019**

Several board members formed an informal, ongoing Community Outreach Committee after RNA invited public comments and feedback at an open session during our December 2018 meeting. As time was short, we asked neighbors who attended the 1/28 Division Parking Permit meeting if they'd like to share any additional concerns or feedback they had on parking (or other topics) on comment cards. We received 8 comment cards, 3 with neighbors' names/contact information (which I've marked with an asterisk so we can contact those neighbors about relevant future meetings or opportunities to discuss). I've typed up the responses we received, removing any identifying details. We hope to continue this at future meetings and public sessions.

-Susan Beal, RNA Board Member, community outreach committee

## COMMENT CARDS:

- 1. Parking Program Q's:
  - 1. # of residents over 65 in study area?
  - 2. # of low-income residents in study area?
  - 3. Does SAC committee have an opportunity to set fee waivers for first car, increase fee for 2nd car?
  - 4. Will a building with 80 apartments get 80 permits?
  - 5. Are [we] giving developers \$ gain but passing buck to others?

## 2. Parking?

- •If not enforced, it will be \$ for the city & nothing else
- •Enforcement on weekends & after 5 pm?
- •What about low-income people?
- •Apartments without parking, commuters are the biggest hoggers
- •What about residents' visitors? Parents, grandparents... coming from far away.
- •What about a park & ride
- •Without enforcement, it is useless
- 3. I would really like to see enforcement that addresses the tourist and restaurant traffic problems. Weekend nights (Th/F/Sat/Sun) and every night in the summer are congested. The daytime "commuter lens" doesn't catch this. And if no one ever gets tickets, it won't make any difference anyway.\*
- 4. WITHOUT ENFORCEMENT, PROGRAM IS USELESS!
- 5. Idea: Yes, oversimplified, but...

Evening spots that are compact car only with painted lines, maybe just on certain streets, like East/West, to avoid inefficient spacing and big cars.\*

- 6. When the RNA committee proceeds with creation of a pilot program parking program, they should consider how to best address permitting for construction development employees. On my block (97% parking occupancy) where I've lived for 39 years, many of the cars belong to these employees. This has been the case for at least the last 5 past years when the Division St. construction boom took off. There is a lot of turnover of construction workers, however, their numbers have not decreased. Probably have increased, considering the numerous development projects currently in progress. By the way, many of them already are carpooling.
- 7. I would rather see us find ways to get people out of their cars. This doesn't address the problem of increased traffic, pollution, noise associated with more cars. I would like to see the RNA work with the Division-Clinton Business District [Association] to get more people biking, bussing, etc. (E.G. Salt & Straw would provide discounts to customers or employees who don't drive.)\*
- 8. I promise to canvass <u>against</u> Parking Permits. So good luck!